Rebuttal #2

Beware of Zachary King

Accusations:

I saw a report a few years ago, I think from the Lepanto Institute, about a former 'Satanic high priest' called Zachary King who had become a Catholic, and who reported having conducted sacrifices in abortion clinics, even involving cannibalism on one occasion. I reserved judgment about it at the time; since these 'clinics' are strongholds of the enemy, it wouldn't be surprising if open witchcraft broke out inside them from time to time.

Recently I listened to a long talk that Zachary King gave at a Catholic church in the USA. He is not a credible speaker. He claims that after having been involved in a coven from the age of 13, he entered the 'world church of Satan' when he went to college. He says that this organization is plotting world domination, and that he rose to be one of the highest members of it; a high wizard, no less. He also states that he was the most successful high wizard in the world, having a success rate of 92%.

Response:

I don't know where to start with this article. Right off the bat, this article's name is a foreign name and the guy that wrote the article doesn't offer his real name. Because of this false article, I was denied a speaking event and then word got around about the article. I am here to give a rebuttal on this article because since it had a big enough impact to affect me speaking at an event, I decided to do the footwork for you and check in to this article and its "facts" The first thing that he does is he talks about the Lepanto Institute article and then mentions that I am High Priest, which is clearly wrong. You're welcome to look up the Lepanto Institute article and read it yourself. Here is the link. http://www.lepantoinstitute.org/.../former-satanist-i-perfor.../ I was a High Wizard and the article even states that. Then the guy goes on to say he listened to a long talk that I gave at a Catholic Church in the U.S., but does not say which church as I have spoke at many churches in the U.S. If you would like to hear one of my talks, there is one posted all over the internet, but there is one at Sensus Fidelium that you can listen to for free. (some sites charge membership fees to listen or watch their videos) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IDaS2K66YmU Here is the link to that talk.

So to begin with this rebuttal, I am going to simply state, that nothing this man says makes sense. I am not sure whether he is trying to reference the article from the Lepanto Institute or if he is trying to only reference a talk that I gave. Either way, his "facts" couldn't be more wrong. Nothing he talks about in this article has anything to do with the article from the Lepanto Institute so I am going to say he is trying to quote my talk that I gave at the unknown church that he mentions. With that being said, my success rate as a High Wizard was 91% not 92% The 9% of the spells I don't have credit for took over 90 days, the spells that are for 5 or 10 years out, you get credit for, they don't count against you. The credit you are given to create your success rate depends on many factors. I am not saying that every spell I have ever done has worked. I have explained this in almost every talk I have ever given about my time as a High Wizard.

Accusation:

Despite his eminent status in the society of world domination, High Wizard King was given the relatively humdrum task of attacking Baptist churches in the United States. His method of carrying out his mission was to infiltrate their soft furnishings' committee (I am not making this up.) He would show up at a church and impress their pastor with his vast wealth, having 14 smart cars in his garage, and from there it was but a small step to the coveted place on the choir robes or church carpet commission. Then he would subtly turn the members of the committee against each other by spreading false reports of what they had said about each other. A moment would come when the committee would burst apart; and since, as everyone knows,

a Baptist church stands or falls by its soft furnishing committee, the church itself would split, with 51% of the members going away, and 49% remaining – or it may have been the 51% who remained: anyone it was always the same proportion, which seems curious. He said repeatedly that he had carried out this operation 120 times.

I do not know how long it takes to infiltrate a Baptist soft furnishing committee. I would expect that a pastor wouldn't invite a new member of his congregation onto a committee until he had known him for at least a year. But it may be that the world of Baptist fabric and haberdashery is a volatile one. Suppose, then, that the High Wizard had been voted or co-opted onto the committee after only 3 months of moving to a new church. To destroy it by cunning gossip (did he get the connection between diabolism and gossip from some early sermons of the present pope, I wonder?) would surely take at least 6 months – but let us say just 3. That would

make a total of 6 months from his arrival at the church to its explosion. Doing this 120 times would require 60 years; except that to cover his tracks, he only destroyed every other Baptist church that he went to, always spending long enough between his acts of sabotage at another church to allay suspicions. So he must have required about 120 years to do his work. He was about 40 when he supposedly converted to the Catholic faith.

Response:
The 120 churches that we infiltrated was done with the entire team. Not just me. I was on a team that broke up the churches. I didn't work alone in this nor have i ever claimed to have worked alone on this and done it all myself. So, yes alone, I would not have been able to do that many alone. But as a team, several people in several churches at once, we were able to take down 120 churches in a shorter amount of time than he calculated. Because I had been Baptist my entire life, when I first joined the WCOS, since I had grown up Baptist, they asked me if I knew how the church spilt, I told them I didn't know. They had me attend a church and watch what they were talking about, then I said yes, I know how to do that. There are several scandals you can create in a church, anything from gossip, sex, etc., I chose the gossip group. Splitting 120 churches out of all of the Baptist churches in the world isn't much. We didn't destroy the church, we split them. People would leave the church after the split which was our goal. Some absorb back into other churches, some walk away from church completely and some start their own churches based on their own beliefs. Aside from percentages, almost all the churches would split by half. You can walk in to any church and ask about a scandal and someone will tell you about one. You only need one scandal to cause a church to split. So as a High Wizard I did that for about 12 years, I had done it for about 3 years prior to being a High Wizard so about 15 years total. Since I traveled around the world so much, it was easy to work on multiple churches at a time. Since there are so many in the world, no one notices the ones that we are working on. And if you consider the thousands of churches split by all 3 teams, no one noticed the ones we were working on. Probably the reason for that was that when I was growing up I was taught that the devil is afraid of the church. I was taught that since Jesus died on the cross for us 2000 years ago, the devil was no threat. Every Baptist Church I had been in had taught that. If the devil was no threat, then nothing that was going on in the church was satanic. For an entire religion to be based on the Bible alone, you would think they would get that the attack was from the Devil. Satan was afraid of God and he attacked God in the Bible.

ACCUSATION:

There are other features of the talk which are also incredible. He alleges that his conversion came when he was visited by an elderly Catholic lady in the jewelry store which he managed (does a High Wizard with 14 smart cars and an enormous house work in a shopping mall?), who told him all about his past life, and then challenged him to accept a miraculous medal. When she put the medal into his hand, nothing happened, but as soon as he closed his hand over it, the store disappeared and he had a vision of our Lady who took him by the arm and turned him round to show him Christ. This sounds like something from Medjugorje. Several people from the woman's parish started calling her on her cell phone about the High Wizard because 'the Spirit' had told them to. Then he went to Mass and could see our Lord at the consecration every time and was surprised that other people couldn't.

RESPONSE:
All I have said in my stories is a lady came up to me. I have never given a description of her. I have given her name, but I do not describe her age, ethnicity or any other details about her other than she is Catholic and that she took me by the hand, not the arm. Also, NEVER once have I ever said that I owned 14 smart cars and an enormous house. I have never even owned a single smart car. Back when I was a High Wizard, smart cars didn't exist. At times when I was a High Wizard, I did have 12 cars and big house, but none of it was mine. It was all an illusion created by Satan to make look and feel wealthy. He also leaves out that I left organized Satanism in 1999 trying to escape into Canada twice and failing, living off the grid for a couple of years, still practicing magick though and trying to escape my past. By the time I was given a Miraculous Medal, it was 2008. I did not get to leave satanism with all of the money that wasn't really mine. I left my house and 12 cars behind and all of my fine clothes were left in the closet. By the time I was a manager in a piercing pagoda, I was poor and needed that job. We got 3 phone calls from the Ministry she worked in, no one of which were from her Parish.

ACCUSATION:

His web-site is similarly specious. The 'Links and Resources' section on the home page advertises four sites: two of them are to the FSSP and to Courage, neither of which things has anything to do with theme of the web-site, but both of which would tend to reassure

the casual visitor. The section marked 'References' proudly boasts: 'I have 4 reference letters from priests, including a Bishop's Letter, that I will gladly make available upon request.' The name of the bishop is not mentioned, nor is any of it quoted, nor is any explanation given of why it is not made publicly available. A lists of interviews is offered, but most of them either have no link or a broken link.

I do not know if Mr King's main aim is to make money, to have fun, or even eventually to reveal the hoax so as to discredit Catholics. In any case, don't go there.

RESPONSE:

With this concluding paragraph of this article, I can confirm that all of the links on the ministry page do work and if they are not working, please email me and I will try to get them fixed quickly. I do send out newsletters and try to update people on any information and often times if there is an issue on the page, we will address it in the newsletter. There are no details of the Bishops and Priests that I have reference letters from because people will take advantage of it and contact the Priests and Bishops on nonsense things. I keep their information private from the public upon their request. If someone emails me and asks for the reference letters for good cause or to book me, I will gladly offer them. But I do not give them out to just anyone. That would be like making the Chief of Police's home address and phone number public information. They keep those things private for their personal protection. Any interview that I have on my ministry page, can also be found online when looked up as well as my YouTube channel has many of them are available there.

I also want to point out, I am not out to get rich. If you look at the prices on the products I sell, there is no way those prices would get me rich. The book and CD set of the same title are $15.00 each and they cost more to have printed and made. I am not making a profit from my merchandise in any way considering what it costs me to have each item made or printed. Plus, often, things are ordered from foreign countries and shipping costs me more than the item is worth. I also give many items away to Priests and Bishops as I do not charge them for their products.

If you have questions or concerns about the ministry page or even an article like this one that tries to discredit me and my conversion story, please feel free to ask all of the questions you want by emailing me at mysticforgod@yahoo.com You can also search the ministry page for yourself at http://allsaintsministry.org

January 15, 2018 There was an article written about me. This a rebuttal for this article written by me. This article doesn't just attack me, but almost every Christian in the world.

REBUTTAL

Gus Lloyd Interview with Zachary King: can be found on soundcloud.com

Former Satanist converts to Catholicism, Gus Lloyd talks to Zachary King on Seize the Day.

ACCUSATION:

a guy who has fused that culture war with the earlier Satanic Panic to come out with a fake testimony about having been a Satanic Wiccan (or is it Wiccan Satanist?) who totally helped perform over a hundred abortions.—

RESPONSE:

I have never alleged that I was Satanic and Wiccan. In my testimony, I mention that Satanists and Wiccans have no respect for each other. I believe that Wiccans are satanic by default, not because they worship the devil because they don't, but practicing magick is a satanic practice regardless whether you believe in the Devil or not. Disbelief in a speed limit sign will not get you out of a speeding ticket.

ACCUSATION:

Three Acts of the Play

I have had death threats in the USA and from around the world.

Zachary King, Facebook post, December 5, 2017

The first part of the testimony is a "before" picture of what the Christian's life looked like before conversion. Here, the Christian will

talk about how wonderful that life was. Wealth, sex, and pleasure often figure into it. Another type of "before" story involves how totally miserable and lonely that person was. Ideally, both elements will figure into the story: the person will have all the external trappings of wealth and happiness, but will feel totally miserable and lonely despite it all. This part of the story always fits the lies that Christians tell about non-Christians.

The second part of the testimony will involve the Christian's conversion. Miracles often abound here. Sometimes the precipitating event causing the conversion will be an act of great kindness and self-sacrifice by a Christian of the correct flavor. Our sad-but-worldly-wise protagonist will see the light and make the right choice.

The third part of the testimony will detail the Christian's post-conversion life. It will reverse the first part. Now the Christian might be poor, having given up their life of crime. They might have broken up with the person they were dating or married to before. They don't party all night or sleep all day, not anymore. But they're happy and fulfilled now, even if they're living a life of reduced circumstances. This part of the testimony will end with an exhortation to convert (if delivered to non-Christians) or else an encouragement to keep the faith (if delivered to Christians).

So as we go over Zachary King's testimony, we'll be examining how it fits into this template.

The half-hour-long interview took place around June 11, 2015 on "The Catholic Channel" on SiriusXM radio. As we'd previously noted, 2015 was a super, super busy year for this liar-for-Jesus. I found his interview itinerary–or, as he puts it, his ministry schedule–and his "ministry" appears to consist entirely of going around the country to lie to people about his past. You can find the full interview here.

This is going to be an entry in the "Conversion Corner," where the host, Gus Lloyd, interviews people who converted to Catholicism. One can see why they like seeing converts, seeing as their religion gets so few of them and conversions tend to run the other direction. King begins by relating that he grew up Baptist with twice-a-week-and-more attendance at church. (1:40) He says that he was totally being "groomed" by learning so much about Christianity so he could later engage in "breaking up" Baptist churches. He pronounces it "Bab-tist" and though that's normal for the area he grew up in, it annoys me greatly.

Y'all, Zachary King totally was being "groomed" to "break up" Bab-tist churches, y'all, and "split them." OH. MY. GOD.

The host calls him out immediately on that one, to his credit, by asking who exactly was grooming him. King replies, very matter-of-factly, "Satanists." (2:30). Lloyd asks him to clarify that statement, and King replies by talking about how he'd gotten into D&D when he was 10. Yes, because a pair of Bab-tist parents in the grip of the Satanic Panic totally won't mind their kid playing D&D.

He also claims that around the same time (10 years old) he got into what he describes as a game called "I Hate You, Bloody Mary." It's just the standard game that kids play where they look into their darkened bathroom's mirror and repeat the name "Bloody Mary" three times, under the extremely mistaken impression that doing this might summon a horrifying ghost or demonic beastie into the room. It's just a way to get a little giddy thrill out of a pedestrian life. But in King's hands, the game turns into an honest to goodness demonic summoning ritual.

He says this childhood game made him wonder if magic was real–because obviously just D&D by itself couldn't have caused him to ask that question. He learned that another gaming group "believed magic was real," and since King himself had already worked that fact out by 12, he wanted to go hang out with this new group. They turned out to be Satanists. (He met them at 12 and joined their "coven" at 13.)

He says that J.K. Rowling claims to have researched Harry Potter using occult books, which I could not find corroboration for–though I did find a ThoughtCatalog post criticizing a quote from one of the nuttier Christian writers who thinks Harry Potter is Satanic. The book featured in that criticism might have been where King got this idea, because it sounds similar to the dreck he regurgitates in this interview.

Yer a hairy wizard...

Obviously, there's no evidence produced at all for anything King is saying.

The host appears to be realizing right about now that he might have gotten a little in over his head with this level of wackadoodlery. But he doesn't put a stop to this farcical "interview." Overall he believes in magic and demons and all that. He's already primed to accept King's obviously-ludicrous story.

RESPONSE:

Not only is this guy attacking me and my conversion story, he is attacking ALL of Christianity. He attacks the Protestants as well as the Catholics. He nitpicks petty things such as how I speak and how things are pronounced. This also makes it an attack on people

with speech problems and those who speak with an accent as well. He mentions how my accent comes from the part of the country I am from, which is the South. That is 37% or more of the Country's population. He has decided to attack the dialect of 37% of the country because it irritates him. He doesn't stop there, he also is attacking the belief system of 62% of the country for being Christian. In America alone there are 240 million Christians, but globally there are 2.2 Billion Christians. This makes his attack on Christians monumental. He must have an extremely lonely existence as he has excluded southerners and Christians from his life.

(By the way, the Southern states consist of this breakdown:
• The South Atlantic States: Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia and West Virginia.
• The East South Central States: Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi and Tennessee.
• The West South Central States: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas)

Take note of his mockery with the last statement he wrote in this section. Bab-tist, is not a word and while I may have a slight accent and pronounce it a little differently, I do spell it Baptist.
Then when he says that Gus Lloyd called me out in the interview when I said I was being groomed, I took it as Gus simply asking, "Who was grooming you?". The reason I took this as just a question and not as being "called out" is because it was during an interview and people usually get asked questions during interviews and they also get asked certain questions so that they can gain clarity on a vague answer, which then the answer to the new question will provide a detailed answer. Also, with this comment, he says how I didn't answer or go in to detail on certain topics, well that's because I don't answer questions that aren't asked. I try to answer what is asked and briefly touch on or describe the scenario in which I'm discussing.

He discusses my parents like he knew them and how our household was ran. In reality and as an ACTUAL FACT, not his opinion or theory on what my parents did or didn't allow, My parents owned a restaurant and a movie theatre that took up most of their time. The rest of their time was then spent with their other child who suffers from Cerebral Palsy and Autism(Asperger's) and other severe learning disabilities. So while he wants to say that the fact is true that my parents wouldn't have let me do these things, they had no control because they didn't know and they were too busy to realize what was going on. They didn't even know that I was sexually molested when I was 11 years old. Also, I was not playing D&D with adult men. I was playing it with other kids and teenagers who lived around town. So possibly, to my parents, I was just a kid running around with neighbor kids that had an over active imagination. They also did not know that I played D&D or that I played Bloody Mary.

When he mentions that he wishes he could have seen Lloyd's face during the interview, It doesn't sound like Gus Lloyd is in disbelief of anything being said. But I recommend that you as the reading audience of this to go listen to the interview with Lloyd and form an opinion for yourself. He is able to present his "facts" to you because he knows that you are not willing to go listen for yourself. When people break things down and only point out what they want to in something, it is all that you will see if you haven't seen or heard the original form of whatever is being discussed. For instance, if someone says something like "I need to go buy a new car because I wrecked mine", someone can then break that entire sentence down and make you sound like you are the worlds worst driver with a lot of money. When in fact, you just need a replacement car because a drunk driver hit you.

This quote from J.K. Rowling was easy to find. Anyone can get online and look it up. He says it can't be found anywhere. Well, I looked and found it and here it is below. These are not my words accusing her of doing this kind of research for the Harry Potter collection, these are her words and her describing how she came up with the spells in the series. Take her word not mine. She is the Author after all.
But keep in mind, according to him, this "Lonely Liar", it can't be found. Was he lying about it not being able to be found or is he just a lazy, lonely blogger who has nothing better to do than to type up his "facts" about others instead of doing actual research on true facts? Only google can confirm any of it because you know, if it's on the internet, it must be true.

"I do a certain amount of research, and folklore is quite important in books. So where I'm mentioning a creature or a spell that people used to believe genuinely would work—of course, it didn't . . then, I will find out exactly what the words were, and I will find out exactly what the characteristics of that creature or ghost were supposed to be . . [Much of sorcery material in the books] are things that people genuinely used to believe in Britain."—J.K.R. interview on National Public Radio, October 20, 1999.

ACCUSATION:

He says at 23:40 he was working at a "Piercing Pagoda" in Virginia. That's a little jewelry kiosk usually found in a mall; most locations have employees on hand who can pierce ears with a little gun. The night before, King says, he performed a magic spell, but doesn't say what about or what it entailed, or what its goal was.

One fateful night, a customer visited the shop. She gave him a medallion and advised him that "the blessed mother is calling me into her army." He claims he had no idea who the "blessed mother" might be (24:40)–forgetting, one supposes, that as a Satanic High Wizard who understood what all three "houses" of his religion fought against, he certainly would have known at least the basics of Catholicism. I don't think even a totally uneducated stoner gadabout working at a Piercing Pagoda would be that in-the-dark about Catholicism, but I guess it'll tickle Catholics to hear that their religion is so mysterious to non-members.

RESPONSE:

First thing that is wrong in this section is that I never said I lived in Virginia. I've never lived in Virginia. So, another one of his "facts" are in fact a LIE. Also, I did work in a Piercing Pagoda, but it was the largest kiosk in the mall. It wasn't small at all. The reason I have never mentioned anything about the spell and ritual I did the night before is because it is not significant to the story. I'm sure if I had, he would have said how I was rambling and wasting time and not telling my conversion story. The importance of it was that the lady mentioned it the next day to me. It was proof that she knew who I was, what I had done and pertained as to why she was there that DAY. Yes, day, not night, another mistaken "fact" of the "Lonely Liar".

When I talk about the 3 groups that broke up the churches, I clearly state that I did not work with the group that broke up Catholic Churches. I very clearly state that I worked with the group that broke up the Baptist Churches. I was raised in the Baptist Church and that is where I was groomed because to me, I was going to be Baptist my whole life. I learned everything there was to learn about the church. This is what weighed heavy in the decision for me to be in the group I was in. I had never been in a Catholic Church, I had never discussed it with anyone. The closest I had ever gotten to Catholicism, is when I was in elementary school, I walked past a Catholic Church every day. I didn't even know what it was until I asked my Father what the building was. When I asked him what a Catholic was, he told me it didn't matter because they were all going to hell. That's all I knew about the Catholic religion. I never had a desire, want or need to know anything more than that. So, no, I didn't have any clue as to who the Blessed Mother was when the woman placed the MIRCULOUS MEDAL in my hand. Take note, it was not a medallion. It was a MIRACULOUS MEDAL that she placed my hand that DAY.

ACCUSATION:

Post-Conversion Life.

He spends the last 5 minutes whisking through the awesomeness–and strangely prosaic nature–of life as a modern Catholic. He laughs about his first time visiting a Perpetual Adoration chapel and shares how difficult it was for him (as a manager at the Piercing Pagoda–he does mention this, so maybe he really was one, but as we'll see it wasn't a particularly impressive role) to arrange time to get indoctrinated before his acceptance into the Catholic community (there's a procedure).

OH THOSE WILD AND WACKY CATHOLICS!

He claims that he hung out at the Perpetual Adoration chapel for anywhere from "1 hour to 18 hours a day" (despite the busy schedule he had at work?) because it was just his "favorite place in the world to be," and that when a priest came to bless his house King told the guy that he could totally see Jesus lurking around. The priest was probably Monsignor Richard Lavalley, who works out of St. Francis Xavier Parish in Vermont; he's been in charge of its private school for years, so it's hard to fathom him having that kind of time (my aunt, who held a similar position at her private school, sure didn't).

Lavalley was apparently so impressed that he had King come to him for his indoctrination–anywhere from 1-6 times a week and 1-3 hours at a time, by King's estimate–instead of attending plain ol' boring RCIA like everyone else has to do, cuz he's sooooo special that way. So yes, he's already forgotten about how hard it was to schedule time off from his job in his rush to demonstrate how super-spiritual he was as a new convert.

It's easy to imagine how happy Zachary King must have been to have so impressed someone he clearly viewed as being everything he wished he was: respected, holding power over others, an authority in his community, and apparently even well-loved. One wonders what Lavalley really thought of King, though.

Lloyd had to end it there, but asked King to say something to those who would say that his testimony is simply not believable.
King snidely replied that "that's like a cafeteria Catholic, or a cafeteria Christian" because one either believes 100% of the Bible or none of it; it was either true or it was a lie. He says his story "proves" that God is real, and so therefore demons must be real too. And he just doesn't see how all this evil in the world could possibly exist without demons being real. So there you go; checkmate, atheists.
(How fundagelical of him to have such an un-nuanced, childish view of the Bible!)
So yes, Zachary King's testimony falls very neatly into the established playbook for a conjob-for-Jesus peddling a dramatic testimony. He follows the format very closely, deviating only because he simply isn't an organized enough thinker to work with serious time constraints.
If he was pitching the story to anybody but extremist Christians, he wouldn't have gotten anywhere with it, because he sounds like exactly what he is: a very sad, lonely, unattractive dweeb who grew up in a very restrictive, super-religious monoculture and had dreams of the world being different than it really was, and who is finally getting a little attention.
And look, I get that. I grew up wishing with all of my heart that myths and fairy tales were real–until I realized what a terrible world that'd be in reality and fell in love with what the world really is.
Zachary King never got past the wishfullness. By his own admission he's just a regular, uneducated, mediocre-white-guy schmuck. He hints that his health is declining very quickly–he had a stroke a few months ago and I heard somewhere that he's lost all or most of his vision–and says he doesn't take good care of himself despite the risks he's running. He exhibits a high degree of disorganized, irrational, and clouded thinking, with a nattering, repetitive, and mumbly way of expressing himself that doesn't exactly scream credibility. (So he's pretty much the opposite of every actual Satanist I've ever met.)
That doesn't mean I'm ultimately sympathetic to someone who is lying in service to a culture war that is being waged to rob people of their rights, however. He's still an opportunistic asshole gleefully riding on other people's gullibility for his own benefit.
But wow, gang, Zachary King's fake testimony is literally all he has to offer the world. The wide-eyed admiration this lie gets him is literally all he has to feel good about himself. He's barely a Z-list Christian celebrity–definitely not wealthy or powerful. He's a total embarrassment to the religion, a fake and a phony–and he's rapidly nearing the end of a lackluster life built upon and marked by dishonesty and deception.
There's no curse that any real wizard could ever lay upon him that would be worse than the life this conjob wakes up to every morning.
So there's Zachary King's life story in his own words, gang. Color me unimpressed. Even by liar-for-Jesus standards, this is awful stuff.

RESPONSE:
With this last bit of his article, we see how he attacks someone who has had a stroke as well as Monsignor LaValley. Something I want to quickly touch on is how he says that his Aunt apparently held the same position as Monsignor LaValley at her private school. The first thing I want to approach with this is that in order to be a Monsignor you need to be a man. Women cannot be ordained by the Catholic Church and therefore can not obtain the title "Monsignor". The name is an honorary name given to those that are ordained.
Here is the definition of what a Monsignor is; Monsignor (Italian: monsignore) is an honorific form of address for those members of the clergy of the Roman Catholic Church including bishops, honorary prelates and canons. In some cases, these ecclesiastical honorific titles derive from the pope, but in other cases it is simply a customary or honorary style belonging to a prelate or honorary prelate. These are granted to individuals who have rendered valuable service to the Church, or who provide some special function in Church governance, or who are members of bodies such as certain chapters. Although in some languages the word is used as a form of address for bishops, which is indeed its primary use in those languages, this is not customary in English.

Dogma of the Church[*edit*]
The church believes that, because of Jesus' commissioning of the twelve apostles,[22] *the ordination of Catholic men is immutable.*[23] [24] *Hence the church forbids the ordination of Catholic women.*[25]

With these FACTS, his aunt could not have held the same position as Monsignor LaValley. While I do agree that the time schedule is out of this world for a Monsignor, I never claimed to be as busy as one or claimed to actually be a Monsignor. I simply stated that I spent several hours doing things that needed to be done in order for me to join the church. Joining the church became a priority in my life over all other things. Therefore, I made the time. I also want to state that he points out how many hours I was at Adoration.

Perpetual Adoration chapels are open 24 hours a day. I was still able to work and attend Adoration. On my days off is when I would spend most of my time there. The hours that I spent taking my classes were done also, when I was off of work. I did not work 24 hours a day . I usually worked around 8-10 hours a day, 5 days a week just like most everyone else in the world. That means I had an open 14 to 16 hours a day left to do what I needed to do and sleep. It's amazing what one can accomplish in a 24 hours period when they utilize their time wisely.

As far as not attending RCIA, many people have told me it's a blessing that I did not attend them. Monsignor LaValley wanted to make sure I was taught correctly because often times you are taught Heresy at RCIA. Therefore, with his willingness and my eagerness, he taught me himself in the time that he was available. Since he was willing to work this out with me, I made sure that I had the time I needed off of work. I was the manager at the piercing pagoda that I worked at and that made it a little easier to schedule myself the time off that I needed. While I was busy working and such, becoming Catholic was not an option for me. It was my main mission for the next 5 months.

The only thing this Lonely Liar has gotten right in this article is that it was Monsignor LaValley that came to my house in Vermont. Again, it astounds me that he can tell you that I live in Vermont here when earlier in the article he states that I live in Virginia.

I never attacked Atheists with any of my responses. At the end of the interview I simply stated my website to go to read more on my testimony. As with any testimony, some will believe it and some will not. I have never said that everyone has to believe my conversion story nor do I expect everyone to believe it. They don't have to. I know what happened to me that day in the mall. I share my conversion story because I am asked to. I do not contact places and ask them to interview me. They contact me because they want to hear my story. My biggest goal with my conversion story is not to gain anything monetarily, but to simply get the word out to the world what Jesus and the Blessed Mother have done for me In my conversion, my past was brought to light and I was shown that with what I had done in my past and the knowledge that I obtained by doing the things I had done was now to be used for the greater good. I am on a mission to end abortion. Not become rich. No matter how many products I sell, I have to purchase them, create them and write them before they can be available to the general public. That takes money. I barely make anything off selling merchandise. People pay me to speak at their events so that they can get the word out and also do things with the pro-life movement.

With my true own admission, I have never said that I am a "regular, uneducated (I have Bachelor's of Science Degree in Criminology and a Minor in Psychology) mediocre-white-guy (I am not white. I am Puerta Rican and Native American) schmuck." I have never "hinted" that my health is declining, when in fact I have been very open and honest about the fact that I am in Kidney failure, I am Diabetic and I am on Dialysis. I had a stroke in October of 2017 and while it did not impair me, I lost some of my memory. I have also been open about being blind as I am not 100% blind, but I started going blind in 2012. I have very little sight through my peripheral vision. I have hard time distinguishing colors as well. I can tell where someone is sitting and if they move, but can not tell you what they are doing. Unless something is in very close proximity of me, I can not read it. If a picture or image is too dark, I cannot see it all as shapes of things blend together. As far as being organized, everything that is in my cupboards, cabinets, home, life, etc., has to be described to me as almost everything I have is put away by someone else, arranged by someone else or scheduled by someone else. I am glad to see that he defends Satanists and how organized they are. Too bad there were not other Satanists on the show to defend his words and say how organized they are. I wish my life were simpler and more organized, but on the same hand, this is what has been dealt to me. I am thankful to be alive and I know that my health could be much worse than it is. I can not complain too much about the organization as I appreciate the help I get from those that are willing to help me do things in my home and with scheduling and such.

As far as credibility goes, I have letters form Priests and Bishops that validate that I am in good standing and I have reference letters as well to state that my testimony is real and valid.

While my testimony is all I have to offer the world, It is my testimony. If you listen to it because something about it intrigues you or because you are a doubter, either way, God wins because another person has heard what the horrors of Satan does to the world and what the Love and Mercy of Jesus can do for us and the rest of the world.

While this article is just one of many that try discredit my testimony and my conversion, I will not stand down and I will not just sit back and take it. The Blessed Mother came to me that day, in a mall in Vermont and changed my life forever. Because of my conversion and what was brought to light that day, I am the author of *Abortion is a Satanic Sacrifice* and I am here to tell you how I obtained

the material needed to write the book and create the CD set of the same title. It is not pretty and while my past is dark and I am not proud of it, I am extremely proud and Blessed to be able to be here today to help stop others from turning to Satanism and turn them back to God and the Blessed Mother while saving the innocent lives of the unborn.

Everyone has a testimony, what will you do with yours? God has delivered all of us from something. Are you willing to admit what you were delivered from? There is no shame in walking away from things that hurt us and that ultimately will send us to hell. A conversion is a beautiful thing and in time, Jesus will show what your purpose in life is. It's a matter of whether or not you are willing to listen to the call on your life.

- Zachary King 2018